

Review edited by Lev Chernyi News in

THE BRIXTON RIOTS A REPORT FROM ENGLAND

Everything started in Brixton with Everything started in brikoin with the shooting and wounding of a black woman, Cherry Groce, during a police raid on her house. The way in which she was wounded is typical of the criminal behavior of

is typical of the criminal behavior of the police of every state. Seven armed policemen burst into the flat; the woman going to the door naturally didn't immediately realize what was happening. The cops asked about her son, and frightened cops asked about her son, and rightened she turned around, perhaps to try to warn her sons who were sleeping.

A shot was fired striking her in the back. She will be permanently

paraiyzed.

paralyzed.

Around mid-day all her neighbors gathered outside her house and with shouts of "Fascist Murderers!" they marched on the nearby police station. People in the street didn't understand at reopie in the street client understand at first, until the news spread that the wo-man had died. Then there suddenly appeared molotov cocktalls, stones, sticks, and metal bolts. This was the signal for revolt.

police!"

It's difficult to describe the sequence of events from this moment on and it's difficult to follow the logic of events, but I'll try! I discover that there's a riot going on while I'm sitting there's a riot going on while I'm sitting having a meal with my flat mates, a normal Saturday evening. Two friends arrive running. They burst into our house and gesticulating, almost unable to speak, they led us a short way to

to speak, they led us a snort way to Brixton's main street.

There I saw something that I'll never forget...the sight of that street, usually full of traffic, now in flames, with the houses on either side completely swallowed up by the flames and smoke from the burning barrlcades. And in the middle of it all the people-familiar faces that I knew well, neighbors, children, youths-all Intent on



From that moment on the mood was "we've had enough of the murdering brixton residents attack a police station in the early stages of the riot on Sept. 28th.

building barricades, all to cover the other comrades who, in the shops along the street were organizing the most joy-ful acts of looting that I have ever seen. For them and for us all It was

seen. For them and for us all it was a long anticipated carnival.

Juice and soft drinks in abundance for the kids, boxes of sweets and choodlates for the grannies, for the big brothers and sisters lots of bottles of whisky—enough to get the whole of Brixton drunk that night.

SANTA COMES EARLY: And this

was only for starters. In the course of was only for starters. In the course of the night there were people who had obviously thought of, let's say, more long-lasting goods, like jewels, watches and diamond rings. I happened to see a group of young black women standiring in front of some policemen waving the

most beautiful gold watches saving that they'd been given an early Christmas present! You can imagine how angry the cops were to be so completely ridi-

the cops were to be so completely ridi-culed,

The most amazing thing about this

chief revoit is how it sometimes bemore frevoit is how it sometimes bemore than the sometimes besort of thing you see in absurd films.

It's enough for you to know that my
neighbors, after visiting the little supermarket nearly, organized a party, as
party that lasted all night, we validing
of police sirens and by the arrival of of police sirens and by the arrival of or police sireins and by the arrival of the fire brigade who were trying to put out the various fires burning in the street.

But, you ask, where were the police? Well, for a good part of the

night is was Impossible for the police night is was Impossible for the police to control the situation for two reasons--first because they were completely un-prepared to deal with It, no one had expected anything like it1 And secondly because the revolt spread from one part of the area to another with a violence and speed that was impossible to

The tactics were typical of street The tactics were typical of street guerilla fighting mobile groups move from one part of the district to another. They throw molecular at latarian and the street street, and they start again in another street. Then before police can get there they empty several shops with lighting speed and perfect organization, acting them africk scaper overset as the street. scape routes are already available.

escape routes are already available, through the smoke from the fires. That's how it was in Brixton for the whole night III, around dawn, common the smoke it was in Brixton for the work of the smoke it would be smoked to be smoked to be smoked to be to sleep, and we left the police the still burning barriades allops and the still burning barriades.

the still burning barricades.

For a month the media have been ror a month the media have been taiking about nothing but these revolts and naturally they're looking for an explanation for everything that's happened. However, I think I know the

happened. However, I think I know the motive and I finish here with the words that I heard shouted at a cop during the looting of a shop:

"Leave me alone pollice shit, I want to enjoy myself. Life is boring. We want the goods, the money, power... WE WANT EVERYTHING!"

(Editors's note: In addition to the (Editors's note: In addition to the Handsworth, Brixton, Toxteth and Tottenham riots, smaller scale clashes occurred all around England this fall including Askern, Salford, Hulme, Lollyhurst, Cheetham Hill, Stockport, Southall, Peckham, Forest Gate, Oxford, Rugby, Leicester, Gloucester, etc.) SOUCE: Counter Information, Edinburgh

FTHIOPIAN'S ABUSE US AID is truly encouraging that so many people

Widespread hunger and starvation continue to be a major part of daily life in Ethiopia. Humanitarian relief workers struggle to raise funds to alleviate some of the suffering and Americans continue to enthusiastically respond by contributing millions of dollars each year. It

ERITREA Action Corn ETHIOPIA

ERITREA - THE INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT



is truly enouraging that so many people still care about others. However, there are some important facts that the U.S. media consistently overlook or present in a misleading manner. This leaves the majority of people in. U.S. either the people in the present of the property of the present o four years Ethiopian regimes have attempted to suppress and colonize Eritrea. Now Ethiopia is attempting to starve the Eritrean people into submis-sion in order to acquire their land. The Ethiopian government spends a tremendous amount of its national budget to dous amount of its national burget to continue these wars. The government feels that the welfare of thousands of starving Ethiopians is secondary to the expansion of its military efforts. Thus expansion of its military errorts. Inus
the Ethiopian-perpetuated wars have
heavily contributed to the current
famine conditions.
Another important fact which
should be recognized is the Ethiopian

regime's misuse of food aid and supplies.
Other than "target specific" organizations (Eritrean Relief Association, the Relief Society of Tigray, and Grassroots international), most of the U.S. aid to Ethiopia is dispersed directly through the Ethiopian government where It is both sold in open markets and used to bolster the military regime. It is used to the military regime. It is used to the unitary the unitary that is used to the unitary the unitary that is used to the unitary. These well-occumented facts are not usually presented by the U.S. media, so the American public remains uninformed. Because of these diversions and abuses, both familie and war-related deaths have increased since the arrival deaths have increased since the arrival of the unitary that is used to be unitary that is used to be unitary that is the unitary that is used to be unitary that the unitary that is used to be unitary that the unitary that the u Ethiopia is dispersed directly through the

of U.S. aid. In fact, U.S. ald is a direct causative factor in the intensification of famine conditions and the escalation of war in both Ethiopia and the Horn of Africa. Drought is a major problem in Ethiopia and Eritres. If the people were

Ethiopia and Entrea. If the people were free to work their land however, without fear of the destruction of their homes, their crops and their lives, the impact of the drought would be much less sewere. The international Food and Allied Workers Association has condemned the Ethiopian famine as a "political crime." Individuals and organizations conceils individuals and organizations conceils into assisting famile violums are urged to be careful that their efforts are not abused. If you plan to help the "starving Ethiopians," please do so conscientiously. Your decision will

so conscientiously. Your decision will help determine whether millions of people will live or die. By: Lois Sabo and David Taylor

ANARCHY DESIRE ARMED Number 9 Dec. 85/Jan. 86

Dec. 65/Jan. 86

AWMORY is an irregular publication of the
Columbia Anarchist League, an anti-profit,
anti-capitalist organization of local enarchists dedicated to catalyzing the creation
of a more libertarian world. We sell no advertising, have no paid staff, and publish this journal entirely through donations and subscriptions. Subscriptions are \$3.00/six Issues, or \$6.00/six issues for institutions. Subscriptions are free to prisoners. Sustain ing contributors donate \$5.00-\$10.00/issue.

se address subscriptions, contributions, issions and letters to:
AMARCHY c/o C.A.L. P.O. Box 380 Columbia, FD, 65205

se make any checks payable to the Columbia Anarchist League.



REAGAN'S MANDATE

Ronald Reagan wasn't able to win a majority among registered voters in the last election. When the 72.4% turnout is taken into account, registered voters break down as follows:

Reagan.........42.6% Mondale......29.6% All others..........0.2%

You can be sure that if this were Nicaragua the U.S. press would call the election invalid due to massive voter boyoott of nearly 50%! SOURCE: Mutual Aid Alternatives, Albany, N.Y.

International Anarchist News



Fifteen year-old anarchist Michalis Kaltezas lies dead.

MAJOR RIOTS IN ATHENS IN PROTEST GREEK ANARCHIST MURDERED BY POLICE

100,000 people marched through Athens on Sunday, November 17th to commemorate the occupation (by students) of the Athens Polytechnic scuents) of the Athens Polytechnic University buildings in November, 1973, which had led to the collapse of the U.S. supported dictatorship. Despite a strong police presence some of the marchers (mostly anarchists) attacked a South African Airlines building and the

Athens Hilton Hotel en route.

After the demonstration hundreds of police in cars and vans surrounded Exarchia Square (a meeting place for Athens' anarchists) looking for suspects. Autens anarchists) looking for suspects, The police were reportedly very brutal during their search of the area, creating a very tense situation, until just after a very tense situation, thin just office midnight a crowd responded by throwing molotovs at a police van. The police evacuated the van and fired at the retreating crowd, killing a 15 year-old anarchist. Michalis Kaltezas, who was shot in the back of the head. (He was not shot "as he tossed a fire bomb at a police bus" as was erroneously a poince bus as was erroneously reported by the Columbia Daily Tribune of Nov. 19th. He was shot down from behind as he ran amidst an escaping crowd.)

When news of Kaltezas' death spread, 200 people Immediately occupied the Athens Polytechnic chemistry building in protest. This same bullding had been occupied in May, 1985 by anar-

chists protesting police harassment. Police quickly surrounded the building and made two unsuccessful attempts to evasuate the building during the night. Then at 11AM on the morning of Nov. 18th they used tear gas to storm the building and violently evict its occupiers.

The brutal eviction of the chemistry building sparked rioting throughout the city as 5,000 protesters marched to the Houses of Parliament and 2,500 more re-occupied the Polytechnic University buildings demanding the punishment of those responsible for Kaltezas' murder. those responsible for Naticals Mulder.

The second occupation ended the next day when the majority left and those remaining decided to leave too fearing further police brutality.

At 9PM on the night of the 18th

it was announced that the Interior Minister (also responsible for Public Order) and his assistant had resigned. but their resignations were not accepted by Socialist Prime Minister Papandreous. Dy Socialist Prime Minister Papandreous.
At the same time the national police
chief and two of his deputies were suspended from their duties until an investigation of Kaltezas' murder is completed (at which time they will no doubt be re-instated). One policeman, be re-instated). One policeman, Athanasios Melistas, has been charged with manslaughter (reduced from murder) and released conditionally without bail. However it is unlikely that he will ever be convicted.



Greek police clear Athens Polytechnic building.

some rioting in solidarity with the Athens occupation also took place in Salonika, Yannena, Patra, Komotini and Xanthi.

Also in response to these events a

Also in response to these events a police van was blown up in Athens on November 26th, killing two cops and injuring '21. The bombing was claimed by the "November 17th" group (named after the date students occupied the Polytechnic leading the way for the fall of the dictatorship in 1973). "November 17th" is not an anarchist group, though some newspapers have spread this lie.



ANARCHIST UNIONS IN JAPAN

Fifty years since the last anarcho-Fifty years since the last anarchosyncicalist unions in Japan disappeared,
anarchists have begun reorganizing
unions on a national scale. The newlyrecognized Japaneses section of the
anarcho-syndicalist International Worker
Association, the AIT-J, is now made up Association, the Art-3, slow made spot five regional organizations: Tokyo, Saltama, Nagano, Shikoku and Kansai. The average age of AIT-3 militants is between 20 and 40 years—thus the vast majority has never been in or had any direct experience with any functioning anarcho-syndicalist unions, though they are well aware of anarcho-syndicalist theory. The AIT-J recognizes that it is still in the early stages of reconstruc-

tion.

In Tokyo many AIT-J members belong to a broad-based union in Kanto and help make up the anarcho-syndicalist tendency in this union. Other members have organized independent unions which have organized independent unions which have not yet affiliated with the AIT-J Tokyo. And still another group in this region is composed of self-employed workers, including shop owners, small workshop owners, etc. who have no completioned.

In Saitama province, AIT-J members belong to several unions. A Gakuiro union (for night porters) has an anarcho-syndicalist tendency. An anarcho-syndicalist Koshiiro union (for workers employed by companies who are con-tracted by the city of Koshigaya) has been organized by the AIT-J, but is not yet affiliated with it. The Koshiiro local active in Koshigaya's municipal hospital (made up of telephone and housekeeping personnel) is now participa-

in unning the hospital since the boss contracted by the city disappeared!

In Nagano there are no current union activities, however this regional group is involved in anti-militarist, antinuclear and third world support struggles. In Shikoku region members are attempting to organize in several

attempting to organize in Several provinces.

In Kansai (which includes large cities like Osaka, Kloto, Kobe, etc.) there has historically been a large anarchist and anarcho-syndicalist presence. Several anarchist groups not affiliated with the AIT-J are active here, however this region was paradoxically the last this region was paradoxically the last to establish an AIT-J section because of difficulties in uniting the various autonomous groups and unions which exist here, SOURCE: Black Flag, London



ANARCHISM IN ITALY

Italy has one of the healthiest anarchist movements in the world at present. Although it's a minority movement (like everywhere else at present) It is well organized, widespread and solld.

The Italian Anarchist Federation (F.A.I.) is an open, above-ground orga-nization (unlike the illegal Spanish F.A.I. for instance), which is affiliated as the International Federation of Anarchists. The Italian F.A.I. now has offices in Bologna, Carrara, Alessandria, Reggio Emilia,

Carrara, Alessandria, Reggio Emilia, Trieste, Rome, Livorno, Napoles, Empoli, Imola and Milan. Outside of the F.A.I. there are many documentation centers including the N. Paplini Center in Fano, the Docu-mentation Center in Luca, the Franco Serantini Library in Plsa, the C. Bernerl Anarchist Center in Milan, the Anarchist Documentation Center in Rome, the Berneri Family Archive in Pistoia, the Ligure Syndicalist Documentation Center in Genoa, and the Anarchist Cultural
Circle in Carrara.

Circle in Carrara.

The Italian movement publishes a wide range of anarchist periodicals and books. They include the weekly anarchist paper Umanita Nova published by the F.A.J. in Milan, the monthly magazine Revista A, and the recently resurrenced anarchis-seminalist. magazine kevista A, and the recently resurrected anarcho-syndicalist quarterly Autogestione. Some of the other more well known periodicals include Seme Anarchico from Brescla, L'Internazionale Anaromico from Descria, Emicentazionare from Ancona, the anti-militarist paper Senzapatria from Sondria, Lotta di Classe published by the anarohos syndicalist U.S.i. (the Italian section of the International Workers Association), Anarchismo (publishing the works of Alfredo Bonnano), Crocenera from Milan, and Sicilla Libertaria. This compilation is only a listing of some of the more important of the organizations and periodicals of the Italian movement which ignores its other current activi-ties. We will include more information on Italian anarchists and their activities in future issues. SOURCE: Black Flag,

VENEZUELAN FEDERATION

At a meeting whick took place last May the Federacion Anarquista de Continued on back page

If people were to learn to conceive the world in the new way, without the old notion of "force," it would alter not only their physical imagination, but probably also their morals and politics.... In Newton's theory of the solar system, the sun seems like a monarch whose behests the planets have to obey. In Einstein's world there is more individualism and less government than in Newton's If nature, as portrayed by Einstein, is to be our model, it would seem that the anarchists have the best of the argument. The physical universe is orderly, not because there is a central government, but because every body minds its own business,--Bertrand Russell, The ABC of Relativity

A tale from NEUTRON GUN by Gerry Reith Foreign Policy

One dark and glistening night, while I calculated percentages and busied myself in other ways, I was visited by two friends, Sergei Glup and Debbie Development.

Sergei liked Debbie very much, and wanted to get in her shorts. I suspect he rather wished I wasn't present because then it would have been easier to tell her so. Although god knows why, since I was hardly in the competition, and we were all on relatively intimate terms.

They engaged in conversation, ignoring me, but preventing me from doing my work.

"I'm an authority," Sergei would announce.

"Oh, I don't know about that," Debbie might reply, coyly.

At other times the exchange went like this: "I'm an authority."

"Yes, I see. Maybe you are after all!"

Sergei seemed to think that if he could finally establish his authority he might have a good chance of getting Debbie into the boudoir with him. This was hardly likely, but they spent much time de-

met by all those who would be allowed into bed. Sergei made offered that her standards were pretty high.

At times I made the mistake of commenting.

"'Love' as a word often confuses the issue," I said at one point, adding: "What we're talking about, in the abstract, is the delicate negotiations that occur...the two sides allow each other to save face for as long as they both want the bargaining to go on. They beat around the bush trying to con each other with vague terms, thinking it's diplomatic. Say one wants the other to be at his beck and call while the other doesn't like the idea.... these types of conditions are established, perhaps, by gossiping about others "

I was interrupted by a who translated for Debbie, telling her, "I'm the authority around here."

It carried on like this. Once in a while I popped in him some lack of desire to seeing my acrimony, and got minor slaps on the wrist to remind me to keep my mouth shut unless I had tailing the requirements to be augment Sergei's standing.

After a while I grew sure that all his categorical sleepy, although I was keeping qualifications included Debbie, an eagle eye out, practising, or someone like her; Debbie It was entertaining to consider the combinations, and it gave me a thrill when my silent predictions were fulfilled. But my tiredness led me to make the same mistake over again: I kept commenting, and they began to focus on me.

In a politically-related discussion of motive, I couldn't let them continue with the to, within certain limits."

That's when Sergei really by any stretch of the imagina- it they didn't want to!" tion. He got angry, probably pork the babe in our midst.



wasn't a rug on the floor he might have spit.

"You conveniently ignore hogwash they were spouting; the operative clause and seize if I had it would have meant on the qualifying one," I complete capitulation to a snapped back, irritated that doctrine I don't hold, and I he would have the effrontery would at some future point to escalate, but even more have been called on it. "Oh irritated at myself for failing come on," I said, "People to have seen what was coming. basically do what they want "I'm talking about not going out for a 'Reese's Peanut Butter Cup when you've just cut loose. It was more of an fallen into an earthquake error than I at first supposed, divide! It's by definition, disbecause it should have been covered in retrospect, that's wave of the hand from Sergei, obvious that Sergei wasn't all! They do what they want doing what he wanted to do to because if they didn't do

It got worse. imagining that I imputed to pulled out all the stops after interrupted me, not allowing "What do you mean, cer- the last words to be heard, tain limits!" he roared. "Any- and said my proposition was something to say that would thing can be within your sup- stupid. After he had finished posed certain limits!" If there (he said, "That's stupid, that's



A NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER

seen through the shallow depths of Church of the Sub-Genius. decadence, and was kicking at solid oround.

Ever since we started publish- the Myoming Libertarian Party...his just jelled in our hands. Neutron that mere "ideas" cannot be dangering, with the journal Beatniks From peculiar path had led him to gambol Cun is modern allegory, political ous...well, they just never had any Space, the Neither/Nor Press has about among the fringe elements, the adventure tales designed to slap the ideas like these. Neutron Cun been the bemused recipient of a maginals at all the wrong ends of reader in the face, sticking the doesn't just open Pandora's box, but motherlode of wild and crazy stuff, the spectrum. He caterwauled with shiv through the ribcage of academy. literally tears it apart. More than spread international network of jack- the xerox saints, and camped out be- with the prospect of putting out a device. Blood, sweat and tears will ass intellectuals. Gerry Reith, hind the barn with the Situationists. strong book, a page-turner more than never taste the same again. writing from Sheridan, Wyoming, He openly embraced the 24-hour all- polemic, but one that dared to chew

of short fictions, docu-dramas, pos- tuals typing until doomsday their might yet write our way to freedom. Baer. With an introduction by Bob ters and tracts--the rich effluence letters of correspondence, nurturing

ed by Minitrue, his propaganda pro- I was holding in hand an accomplish- ing and corrections on the type-A political man, Gerry was con- of others, commissioning some pieces just a few days before the end. sidered the "wayward young punk" of from close associates. The project

quickly stood apart. He clearly had night rabid nihilism espoused by The on the meat that matters. I was stunned then when notified that NEUTRON GUN -- A 72-page paperback Impelling by the vigor and Gerry had blown out his brains. I book, perfect bound, featuring seven breadth of his attack, Gerry Reith was depending on Gerry, figuring short fictions from Gerry Reith, We first began our correspon- was an essential catalyst in this that, after the release of this with contributions from Sun Tzu, Ed dence with Gerry Reith nearly four emerging dada-base of unabashed book, we'd probably have a lot of Lawrence, Gregor Tomo, and Carly years ago, and in short time he had kooks, bludgeon artists and literary explaining to do and, more than any- Sommerstein. Graphics by T. M. deluged onto us an emphatic series jackels, swaggering young inteller- one else, Gerry proved hope that we Caldwell, front cover by Freddie

a man who had found some reasons to might fall, and our binds be unbound. were too blood-encrusted to leave \$1.00 shipping and handling to: The One day, while reviewing our clear his final work, but at least Neither/Nor Press, P.O.B. 8043, Ann taunted, detourned and de-construct- 'Gerry Reith' file, I realized that he managed to finish the proofread- Arbor, MI. 48107.

jekt, the logical imperative that ed body of work. And compelled by script of this volume before his unhad followed his exposure to the its gravity of consequence, I sug- fortunate demise. Some people say appeals to treason that issued forth gested to Gerry that he cull from that Gerry too often got wrapped up from The Last International. Gerry his work a series of short stories in the Big Questions, others say he was gathering his rum crew via mail- from which we might make a book. got strung out over a waitress. We order ministry, on a mission to un- Gerry made then the decision to tem- know for sure that the FBI had found mask the true consequence of liberty. per his voice with the collaboration reason to launch an investigation

As publisher, I was thrilled just a book, this is a concussion

Denis McBee

of a mind hard and fast at work, of a literature on which governments typewriter. The papers on his desk available by sending \$2.95, plus

stupid!" twice), he talked about contra-dictory motives and hierarchies of desire, and I threw in a contemptuous statement to the effect that, if an action be taken, one value has by default taken precedence and can be viewed for these purposes as the prime motive in the case.

Then Sergei drew an example, which demolished; he said that someone who writes a bad check for a hat they want has contradictory motives and Isn't really doing what they want to do. I nearly laughed out loud, recalling Freud and wondering if this example didn't have some bearing on his relationship with Debbie. It appeared to me to be quite simple, that what he meant by confused motive was the event-description of lies. One lies to oneself so as to lie more effectively to the other person. Sergel had designs he couldn't reveal.

But what I said was that, in the first place, error in judgement is not mutually exclusive with volition as the sine qua non of an action; and that, in the second place, people who aren't fucking autistic generally know the results of their fucking actions and in fact desire those fucking results.

But Sergel wouldn't brook the idea that some people enjoy being unhappy, even when I backed it up with the proof that exhibiting symptoms of unhappiness is a common tactic for eliciting specific responses from others.

He began getting incoherent and continued insulting me, asked what I was talking about (to which I threw in, "Commenting on the bind", which was ignored), and somehow devolved into asking me why I was disagreeing with him.
"I was defending a statement!"

What Statement, what statement!" he yelled, evidently having an adrenaline rush and thinking that if he could get me tongue-tied over some particular he'd have won a victory valuable enough to offset the cost to his dignity of having stooped to such a tactic. I suppose It had become a case of getting me to shut

realized too late what I had done, and that now the process had become a contest to the end since Sergei was out to re-establish authority and had nothing to lose. Not wanting to lose too much interest, since anything beyond negotiamyself I decided to cut it off, get it ton was going too far for her taste, over with by resigning, and I waved my hand in dismissal and shut up. There was that I love you as you are," she began, no way I was going to get into a fist fight, and this was probably the next

he cleared up such questions as why people die with smiles on their faces and whether this was proof of an afterlife, Sergei turned back to cauterize my lips

for good.

"You were resisting," he said, somewhat calmer. "You got irrational, and because when what calmer. "You got irrational, and you wouldn't listen to me because when people get to the, ah, root of the irrationality of their position, they get emo-tional. They get more excited the closer you get to their point of vulnerability."

Besides projecting, (it was beautiful, clinically perfect), he was giving me more reason to suspect that he actually was familiar with police method from spy interrogation training, and he was showing interrogation training, and ne was snowing an interesting ability to forget what don't come in handy, like the Marin County Relationships jargon he'd been spewing earlier; If he truly thought he had me in a corner, according to his Beauty of Personhood Becoming bull-shit, he would have backed off. But this stuff only comes into play when bamboozling a potential piece of ass. Then again, some of the other schools of new age thought apparently hold that the only way you can be friends, really friends, with somebody, is to dominate them at every ortunity, destroy their defenses, leave them no privacy, secrets, or pride I was somewhat more irritated at

meat of the contest, mainly with myself because I regretted ever having chal-lenged his authority. I wasn't a contender for the girl, yet I had put our friend-ship to an unnecessary test. I suppose that the demand for equal footing on an intellectual basis was misinterpreted as a demand for equal footing in the quest for lonely ova, probably because Sergei was using his intellectual prowess as a selling point.

Anyway, worse was to come, because I had made a dual error and exposed myself to discovered check. Debbie turned on me with this cutting motherly line, seeing she could fend off the invites tactfully by scoring points on me.
This way she could bask in the cheap warmth of being desired by keeping nego-tiations open... which was her only interest, since anything beyond negotia-

in dismissal and shut up. There was that I love you as you are," she began, and this was probably the next lation.

After a few minutes during which

underined by the person who has alred up, "... But..." (and here the buzzwords came thick & fast) "... you cannot ever be my political leader. You're too young, your ideas are too radical, but I'd like to keep a dialogue open with you even though I don't plan on ever agreeing with you on any particular, especially the important ones, and you will in fact be important ones, and you will in fact be wasting your time to telk to me, but I know you'll never let your frustration show because then I'll be able to hit you over the head with having hurr my deelings." In all, the raving took about five minutes, and five minutes of acute discomfort are a small price to pay to discomfort are a small price to pay to stave off a month-long campaign. Sergel stared at me to gauge my facial response to the onslaught, so I did my best cata-tonia, making with the blank stares, which Is known in the trade as clamming up or diminished affect.

In the end I shrugged, since the thrust was clear before she had finished thrust was clear before she had finished and I'd had time to figure out which act would neutrally signify recognition that it was over. Her pitch constituted an insult the likes of which I have seldom received, and they both thought they were loving friends.... It was almost terrifying. I sat still for a while. Any material counter-attack would have given them an excuse to resume the fusillade.

Moving around while they babbled, collecting coffee cups and straightening up papers, served to get them standing and moving towards departure. They both knew it was getting late and I wasn't done with my work. They haggled over the literal content of religion (which keeps some people busy for centuries), mostly to the effect that Debbie hoped to get the newly-ordained authority to endorse her delusions about god and happiness when you're dead.

Debbie respectfully listened to Sergei as undefined by the person who first anted repeat her line about not having the the same time wanting to keep an interesting whipping boy or clown to call on for occasional entertainment, and by the way, proofs of god, reincarnation, and

similar patronizing shit.

1 did my best to keep writing at my desk and patently ignore her, but she really must have had a blood lust. She went and made a new pot of coffee and brought a cup over to sit and drink it while reciting some disordered litany.

I stopped working and listening long enough to formulate the necessary shrap-

mel grenades.
"Look," I said, with a fierce glare and a commanding tone that was unusual between us but functional now that I was bent on ridding myself of this insect as quickly as possible, "All you are trying to do is find some smart people who will tell you that what you read in crank paperbacks is true. You continue to refuse to look at the uses of your beliefs despite the clear necessity of doing so when evaluating them overall. I find it obnoxious that you try to pester me into sanctioning this bullshit consolatory prattle. Go away and drown in your prattle. Go away and drown in your doubts if you want to, I'm not an authority to be called on to allay your fears, quit harrassing me."

"H'm..." she said, at a loss for words. Not long after, she left.

I recalled the time when I had given Sergei's brother, Rinzai, a briefing on the content of Jay Haley's masterwork, Strategies of Psychotherapy. "H'm..." he said, at a loss. Maybe if Jo'Shu had said

"Yeah," I carried on, ignoring the
"H'm", "When I read it I thought I'd
never be able to carry on a normal relatlonship with anyone ever again, happiness when you're dead.

As it turned out, Sergei tired of the before Debbie did, perhaps realizing that he'd been taken for a ride. He bowed out, and Debbie stayed on to face.

THE BADGUY REPORT

MANEATER messes up

Java left for Billingham with the to hug D. Boon, the big fellow who next issue ready to go to press when played guitar with the Minutemen. the money's available. That means He died in a car wreck just before the end of the Oharma Rums/Scar- Christmas. A guy who cared. boroughs saga.... The Art Sluts resurrected and self-destructed; the emotional intensity, the anger interview with Kris Rapinac of the and the urgency that used to send Maneater as an act of kindness. She chills up my spine was lacking in sincerely wanted to do a good story their reprise. There were some on ANARCHY; a journal of desire touching, imaginative, and tickling armed. I had no illusions that any individual efforts within the show reporter could do a story without at the Blue Note, just the ensemble distorting anarchist idees, the and the danger were missing.... Like `nature of this publication, or my a Horse did their last gig (for a own creative invention of living in while at least); are looking for a Columbia. I told Kris this, but she new drummer. Ralph (whose comic on was determined to have a go at it. graffiti appears on page 12) is She was more intelligent than most moving to San Francisco, as is Chris reporters I've encountered, and not Howland, the most radiant hippie as cocky and conformist in her sen-

Maggot out in S.F. too. Mike has faith effort the article, which the love and respect of so many appeared in the Maneater in early folks here that his absence will be Movember, contained a number of mislike the eye of a storm. It has leading statements and misquotes. been a pleasure watching him grow I'll quote the passages from the from Causes of Tragedy, to Orange article and comment on them one at Doe-Suts, to Bone Deep, to Evil a time. Jeeziz. Drumming us to places we could only leap from at our peril. tity from local police through a Arianne of Subliminal Nightmare pseudonym...." (KOPil's punk show, late Saturday night 3-6AM) has moved on to new and visited Maneater editor Lamar Graham more daring adventures in Massachu- seeking the identity of the writers setts. Kathy Kat will be taking who had pointed out the unnecessary over her show with help from Tim and brutality and poor judgement of Craig of Lurking Fear. The Kat France and other cops in their kilumman can also be seen as the warbly

Openers: Lots of changes in wicked vocalist of The First Bank punkville lately. Chris Stift of of Christ.... Finally it's too late

In Sctober I agreed to do an smurf-punk this side of fantasyland. sibilities (like, say, Forrest Rose). Mike Simms is moving in with Despite these plusses and her good

"'Badquy' who hides his iden-

Local policeman Dean France

Continued on page 9

"DO YOU HAVE IDEAS OR



DO IDEAS HAVE YOU"

Cooperation is anarchy

volvement as a volunteer worker at AN INTRODUCTION our local food co-op, the Columbia Community Grocery, I wrote the first three articles (& notes for several more) of a projected series on the relation of cooperation to anarchy. These three articles duly appeared in the CCG Newsletter, and there followed a brief critical dialogue for several issues which ultimately degenerated into a call by a flustered Community Grocery staff member for the partial censorship of my articles from the newsletter's Danes.

We are now reprinting this exchange in its entirety since we believe it is well worth reprinting for two basic reasons:

1) The Columbia Aparchist League remains committed to the struggle to create a genuinely free and cooperative society--and the original "Cooperation is anarchy" series continues to retain the vali dity, relevance and significance that it held with its original publication. Moreover, now that this journal is appearing again we have an opportunity to present the ideas expressed in these articles to an audience over ten times larger than the CCG Newsletter ever could

2) It is very instructive to look at the reaction generated by COOPERATION IS ANARCHY this series. The original series PART TWO of articles was meant to show how radical the idea of creating a genuinely cooperative society really is. The response to this series reveals just how far removed from such a goal many of those who presume to speak in the name of "cooperation" and "the cooperative movement" really are. It further reveals the hypocrisy and bankruptcy CCG in its corporate bylaws is "to eduof the narrow visions such people cate and provide materials to educate maintain of the possibilities for the practice of cooperation. How else can you portray the actions of a staff member of an organization (whose nominal goal has always been to educate people concerning the desirability of organizing our society along cooperative lines) who society along cooperative lines) who newsletter. As you may have guessed attempts to hinder the publication from the title of this series, I am an of a series of articles consistent with its very goal? Aside from a few brief notes I'll let the articles speak for themselves.



In 1983 at the height of my in- COOPERATION IS ANARCHY

by Jason Mcquinn

As some newsletter readers may remember, I wrote a short article for the CCG Newsletter (that appeared in Volume II, No. 9) that was meant to be the beginning of a series of articles to run under the title "Cooperation is anar-chy." Subsequent events distracted me from continuing that series at the time, but I have decided that now is the time

to resume my investigation of the nature cooperation and what it means for us to have as our goal the organization of a cooperative society (see the CCG bylaws, Article I). In returning to this series of articles, I want to begin by briefly explaining the title of the series to those who don't immediately see the connection between cooperation and anarchy.

Cooperation is usually defined as

a social relationship in which people work together toward common goals.
This necessarily implies that the people



by Jason Mcquinn

Each of us who works, shops, or just lolters in the Community Grocery probably has some idea about what a cooperative is, or at least what one should be; but how many of us ever think about what cooperation means, or could mean if it was adopted as a primary principle of our social organization?

One of the stated purposes of the Its members and the general public to the value, benefit, and desirability of organizing our society along cooperative lines..." But how many of us have ever thought about what the organization of our society along cooperative lines would really entail? This is the second of a series of articles in which I'd like to share my thoughts and perspectives ont these questions with readers of this anarchist, and would like to explain why
I see anarchism as the most natural, consistent, and practical expression of the movement to create and organize

a cooperative society.

Cooperation consists of two or more people voluntarily and consciously working together for both their self-benefit as individuals and or their overall collective benefit as a group. If we consider extending this principle of social organization throughout our society, reorganizing our individual and collective lives in accordance with it, what kind of society would we envision? Before I answer this question, let's rule out three common misconceptions about the limits of the possible application of the cooperative principle to social organlaation

The first misconception involves a definite tendency in the cooperative movement which considers the unques-tionable ilmits of its field of action to be those of the present social system. This social system is based upon hier-

archical domination (control of the many by the few) and commodity-exchange (in which every natural human relationship is perverted and deformed by the invasion of market relations; and everything, including our own lives, time and energies, is transformed into a commodity to be bought and sold). This purely liberal tendency seeks only to amellorate the massive violence, misery, and discontent generated by this system with-out ever confronting its basic nature. There is an obvious contradiction involved in founding any movement for cooperation upon an underlying system of domination by coercive hierarchies, and upon the human exploitation involved in an economy of commodity-exchange. Such a narrowly conceived and timidly defensive attempt to lessen the in-humanity of capitalist institutions and practices is doomed to demoralization and failure since its basic strategy calls for conceding defeat first (since it sees no real possibility of ever creating a genuinely cooperative society), and then proceeding to organize for a long. drawn-out and inevitably losing struggle fact, it really acts to strengthen the anti-cooperative principles of our society by adding a veneer of humanity to them which solidifies their hold on us. It is little wonder then that most co-oos which operate within this perspective for any length of time end up less co-

operative than co-opted.

Secondly, there are those who believe that a cooperative society can be created only if the power of the state and corporations can be "taken over" and reformed by the leadership of a social-political movement devoted to constructing such a society. This belief is dangerously mistaken in at least two ways. (1) It is contradictory to believe that state power, which developed as a means of enforcing divisions of class, caste, privilege and property, can be taken over and used to coercively orga-nize and defend independent, cooperative Institutions. (2) It is also unrealistic to expect that a movement which Incorporates the anti-cooperative principle of thierarchical domination (necessary for It to take over state power) in its own organization would be able to do any-

involved act freely in their own interests which include the mutual goals toward which they work, since you couldn't accurately describe the relation of one person who is forced to follow the orders of another person as one of mutual cooperation without doing violence to its meaning. Thus a genuinely cooperative society must embody a form of anarchy, which is first of all defined as a social situation in which authority
does not exist--in which society is no longer divided into rulers and followers. from Xtra! as equals through the creation of cooperative institutions through which they can organize and regulate their own encial existence

social existence.

If you understand this reasoning and are not put off by the secondary usage of the word "anarchy" as a synonym for disorder (which is not at all consistent with its primary definition except as a statement of authoritarian prejudice against the possibility of a prejudice against the positionity of a free, cooperative societyl, you will see that in order to consistently advocate the organization of a cooperative society, we must face and come to terms with the problem of authority. This is necessarily true since no authoritarian society (no society organized upon the principle of authority rather than that of free cooperation) can be more than superficially, and thus not genuine ly, cooperative in nature,

I hope that this helps explain why as a cooperative anarchist, I am writing a series of articles under this title. And I hope that you will join me in exploring the mutual implications of cooperation and anarchy in the coming months. In the next installment I'll take a closer look at some common misconceptions about the organization of a cooperative society, and outline the basic institustructure that such a society

thing but reproduce the same hierarchical relations if it ever actually took power. Just look at the results of sixty years of so-called "socialist" and "communist" regimes which have taken power with the aim of creating cooperative societies. The results are all too disgustingly familiar.

Finally, there is a growing miscon-

ception (among pacifists, "new-ageists", etc.) that it is only possible to build a cooperative society piecemeal by substituting here and there cooperative rela-tions and institutions in a peaceful ad non-threatening way. They believe that eventually such a strategy would result in the non-violent victory of cooperation over hierarchical and commodity rela-tionships. This view nalvely assumes that these two contradictory types of relationships are interchangeable and in-dependent of any overall framework. However, It is no accident that cooperative institutions have such a hard time surviving as functioning cooperatives in a capitalist world. Cooperatives are faced with a continuous struggle for existence as long as they are situated in such overwhelmingly hostile circumstances. They are constantly undermined and destablized by the demands, conditions, and practices of capitalism because all capitalist Institutions and social relations are interdependent upon one another. Together these relations and institutions of capitalism form an integrated fabric in which each reinforces the position of all the others, glving the system as a whole an almost unassailable stability. To genuinely change one Institution or social relation of capital is to be forced to challenge all the others also, in these circumstances true cooperatives will remain maginal phenomena unless they become part of a more general movement to comprehensively and forcefully abolish the interdependent web of hierarchical institutions and commodity-exchange relationships. Such a movewould simultaneously create in their place a self-reinforcing, Interdependent framework of cooperative institutions and libertarian social relationships. This more general movement

Continued top of next page

COOPERATION IS ANARCHY PART TWO Continued

is exactly what anarchists seek to deve-

lop and encourage.

Thus we return to the original question of which type of society would result if a general movement to create cooperative or anarchist successful. We have seen that it would consist of an interdependent matrix of cooperative institutions and relations, and that it could exist only in the absence of any hierarchical institutions or social relations of commodity-exhange. What Individual cooperative institutions and relations would make up this matrix? I will name a few of the possibilities. The territorial organization a cooperative society would consist a nested system of autonomous households (including living collectives, housing cooperatives, single-family homes, etc.) federated to form autonomous block and street associations, which would in turn federate to form autonomous naighborhood associations

These in their turn would federate to borhood federations), which would then federate to form autonomous districts, towns, cities, regional federations, etc. The economic organization of coopera-tive production would consist of socialized, self-managed workplaces federated industry or trade as well as terri-ially. And the organization of distritorially. bution would consist of the federation general type of distribution (food, hardware, etc.) and by territorial unit.

complete this schematic picture. other imaginable social needs would be satisfied by the organization of free cooperative social organizations, educa-tional and scientific societies, communes and collectives, etc. Every institution at every level would be directly control led and self-organized by everyone concerned. They would all be open to par-ticipation without discrimination. And they would each be able to develor according to their own needs and cir cumstances without direction by the rigid hand of centralized power

COOPERATION IS ANARCHY PART THREE

by Jason Mcquinn

Some people think consumer cooperatives should be little more than economic entitiesj--just capitalist businesses that can acquire capital by selling memberships instead of issuing stock. Often these people see cooperatives (in somewhat reform-minded terms) as busi-nesses that are better able "to serve consumers" because they offer some de-gree of participation by the community their operation. And then again. sometimes they view even a small gree of community participation with suspicion, as more of a threat or an inconvenience than it is really worth.

I believe that a completely differflected by the Columbia Community Grocery's statement of purpose as it appears in the CCG bylaws. According to this statement, the primary purpose the CCG is not economic at all. is "to educate and provide the materials to educate its members and the general public to the value, benefit and desirability of (1) organizing our society along cooperative lines and (2) growing, distributing, and eating nutritious foods harmony with nature." though this primary purpose is obviously not always agreed to by everyone involved in the CCG. It is at least for now our official and nominally agreed purpose. And this to my mind is pre-cisely what sets the CCG above and be-yond the vast majority of the storefront cooperatives that I have seen in opera-

cooperatives that I have seen in opera-tion across the country.

In my view by far the greatest tool the CCG has for educating our-selves and the general public concerning the "organization of society along co-operative lines" is its very existence as a social process through which we can all learn more about the possibilities or cooperative social relationships and organization in actual practice. In this ense I see the CCG as embodying some of the more important organizations features that a truly cooperative society would possess. These features center would possess. These features center on our commitment to create a genuine process of membership participation and control through direct democracy, and our antinathy to the profit-motive as principle of organization and of social relationships. (This second feature i exemplified by the CCG motto "food for people, not for profit.") For, as an anarchist (that is, as a person who is above all interested in helping to create our individual and collective ability to live and control our own lives), I believe that a truly cooperative society is im possible without the genuinely conscious and direct participation of the vasi majority of people in all phases of the

control management. operation of social institutions. Without this, and without the abolition of the motive and the wage slavery which accompanies It, any vision of a cooperative society will remain a farce and a sham, only functioning to hide the basic problems of alienation and exploitation what civilization has been founded upon.

The difference between Communism and capitalism: Under capitalism it is dog-eat-dog; under Communism, it is just the reverse.



Note: I believe Al was (and presimably he still is) a philosophy oraduate student and T.A. This may partly account for the quasi-logical approach of his article. UMC is not exactly famed for its philosophy department.

COOPERATION IS ARCHY, THEN IS PEANUT TER OIL-FREE?

by Al Messner

I'm responding to the first of two articles written by Jason Mcquinn, enti-tled "Cooperation is anarchy." They appeared in the July 1st and July 22nd

appeared in the July 1st and July 22nd issues of the CCG Newsletter.

As you may have surmised from the title, I'm rather skeptical of Mcquinn's claim that cooperation and anarchy are equivalent. Before proceedmy critical remarks, however, want to establish what we share in common. Like many of you in our co-op, philosophically committed working towards a more cooperative I also perceive our co-op here Columbia as an oasis in the desert of the dominant system of capitalism.

In his first article, Mcquinn presumably tries to justify his controversial "Cooperation is anarchy." tunately Mcquinn cavalierly and naively takes for granted what he should not: that like himself, we should have no trouble in seeing the connection between diately then merely requiring a brief explanation. "...I want to begin by briefly explaining the title of the series to those who don't immediately see the on between cooperation and (my emphases). However, not only do I not see the connection Immediately, I don't see it upon considerable reflection. If fact, I believe cooperation convince me (and no doubt others at the o-op) otherwise, doesn't it seem reasonable to expect Mcquinn to have spent most of his time in trying to explain such a connection, rather than trying to get by with a brief explanation?

Be that as it may, let's proceed to Mcquinn's brief arguments anyway. He first defines cooperation as "a social relationship in which people work together towards common goals." That seems like an okay definition. He then goes on to elaborate the meaning of "cooperation" as (rightly, I think) imnlying that "neonle involved act freely in their own interests which include the mutual goals toward which they work, since you couldn't accurately describe the relation of one person who is forced to follow the orders of another person as one of mutual cooperation without doing violence to its meaning" (Mcquinn's emphases). Again I have no quibble with him.

It is the next sentence, however, that stretches my logical credulity (at least initially). It begins with a "thus" and is apparently supposed to follow from what he has just said above: "Thus (my emphasis) a genuinely cooperative society must embody a form of anarchy which is first of all defined as a social situation in which authority does not exist, in which society is no longer divided into rulers and followers, but rather in which people act together as equals through the creation of cooperative institutions through which they can organize and regulate their own social existence." Granting my conception of authority, however, this sentence does not follow at all from the previous one.

Puzzling over why he thought that anarchy is a logical requirement for cooperation, I reasoned that he must have in mind something like the following limited and negative conception of "authority": roughly "any relationship between two or more people, in which some of them force the others to do things against their will." Now admittedly, Mcquinn nowhere comes out and directly says this, but it seems an implicit and unquestioned assumption. For one thing, if we accept this definition of "authority" we will then have little trouble concluding that genuine coopera-tion must exclude "authority." That my Interpretation of Mcquinn's conception "authority" is probably not far off the mark is, I believe, strengthened by various equivalences and oppositions he uses in his arguments. For example, he clearly equates "authority" with "authori-tarian": he says authoritarian societies are based on the principle of authority. And at another place he implies that authority is based on compulsion, whereas anarchy is based on freedom from compulsion.

Assuming that I've correctly interpreted Mcquinn's conception of "authority." it is easy to see why Mcquinn sees the equation between cooperation and anarchy. However, I don't think that most of us here at the co-op would subscribe to such a simple black-and-white analysis of "authority" and "cooperation."

A more fruitful and realistic way of conceptualizing "authority" is to per-ceive it as a "multilithic" concept. similar to the concepts of "freedom" and "justice" rather than as a monolithic concept, having only one meaning. There are obviously a number of different notions of "freedom" and "justice" in America. Clearly, it would be hasty to conclude that just because we don't like the dominant conceptions, that therefore "freedom" and "justice" are bad and we'd be better off without them. Yet, analogously, this is just what Mcquinn has done with the concept "authority." Metaphorically, he thrown the baby out with the bathwater." instead of looking only for the negative aspects, one can more meaningfully inquire into various senses of "authority" and further ask which are or are not compatible with the spirit of cooperation.

Offhand, I can think of at least

three different kinds of "authority": (1) the authority of individuals, (2) the authority of communal or cultural values and rules, and (3) the authority of a group of leaders or of "government." And these kinds in turn can be interpreted in various negative and positive wavs.

Let's first consider the authority of particular individuals "over" others. Conceived negatively, I can think of people (parents, teachers, employers, officials) who are, as we say, in certain positions of authority--whose rules, policies, or actions we may resent. Why? I can think of a variety of reasons: (1) they represent and/or support a value system we may abhor; (2) they fail to practice what they preach, applying a double standard; and (3) we consider what they teach us as relatively trivial, or irrelevant, judged from our own

priority of values. Looking for more positive examples of "authority," I can think of people we respect even though they may or may not hold any official position of authority (or may even be in trouble with them), in short this is the authority of skill and competence of so-called "natural" leaders, who "command" respect not in virtue of their position or threat of force, but in virtue of certain abilities or of the kind of life they lead. This includes some doctors, dentists, professors (and more relevant to our concerns) people who seem to have considerable insight, theoretical and/or practical, into human existence: think of "leaders" like Marx and Gandhi. Considering Mcguinn's presumed intent of writing the two articles, it is reason-able to deduce that he too believes in this kind of authority. That is, he pre-sumably believes in the authority of his insight Into social problems and that he may be able to help lead us to his anarchistic utopia.

But what should we make of this inconsistency just uncovered? Is it possible Mcquinn had not considered this sense of "authority?" Or perhaps what he really means is that until we get to his anarchistic utopia, we need the authority of people like Marx and himself, but once we get there, everyone becomes equal in authority?! If so, then he is certainly not saving what he

Secondly, what about the common goals and rules of a society? Should they never have any authority over its individuals for the sake of ensuring cooperation? Admittedly, in our own complex society we do not collectively and cooperatively choose our social objectives and rules. But just because many (or most) of the values and rules in our country confront some of us (like Mcquinn and myself) as oppressive and dehumanizing does not entail that rules or constraints are bad. Is there not a blg difference between being sub-jected to goals and rules over which we had no say in developing and would not have agreed to had we been in the position of deciding our "social contract"--and social goals we could commit our-selves to if we had our druthers? Suppose we cooperatively decide on common

Continued on next page

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 7

Cooperation is anarchy

goals on some remote island--does it follow from this that our new goals and rules have no "authority" on its members? Ideally such an arrangement would minimize the conflict between the needs of individuals and society. such self-imposed goals/rules would still require us to subordinate our own individual impulses or desires to the common This is not far-fetched, since Marx himself anticipates the likelihood backsliding into old capitalistic ways of thinking and habitual patterns. (The tendency by succeeding generations to both take for granted and challenge the established way of life and the need to

initiate its young members I will only mention in passing.) However, if we take Mcquinn's principle of anarchy seriously and follow it through to its logical conclusion it would sooner or later lead to "anarchy" in the traditional sense. That is, if consistently sticks to his there should be no recognized authority over individuals, then any anarchist member could break the very rules to which he himself agreed (because backsliding, for example), not to mention succeeding generations who made no such agreements. If everyone literally

"did their own thing" without respecting the rights of others (as is so pervasive now), it would indeed destroy any spirit of cooperation there was to begin with. For cooperation is not possible without committing oneself to goals and rules whose authority we accept as binding on us. (Space considerations have prevented me from taking up the third sense of "authority" I had mentioned. i hope to do so at a later time.)

In conclusion, I think I've shown that Mcquinn's concept of "authority" is bankrupt, albeit allowing him to logically equate cooperation with anarchy. Instead I argued that a broader conception of "authority" leads to the conclusion that there are positive senses of authority which are not only compatible, but Indispensible, to promoting cooperation. I also observed that Mcquinn himself while explicitly denying the need for authority, implicitly relies on it to get followers to his camp of anarchists. And, finally, i tried to argue by reductio ad absurdum that if we took Mcquinn's principle of anarchy (absence of any authority whatever) to its logical conclusion, it would have as one of its major consequences the very sense of "anarchy" most of us associate with that term--and be inimical to the goal of cooperation. I con-cluded that in the spirit of cooperation we must be willing to subordinate our tendency towards backsliding, or of giving in to selfish desires which con-flict with the welfare of the community as a whole.

AN ANARCHIST RESPONSE by Jai Noa

Since Al describes anarchy as a state where everyone "did their own thing without respecting the rights of others," it is easy to see why he claims anarchy is incompatible with cooperation. However, his notion, which expresses a common false stereotype of anarchy, has nothing to do with the actual theory and practice of communi-tarian anarchist ideas, it would have been more honest for AI to say: "I don't know what anarchy is, but this is the uninformed prejudice I have about It." It would have been even better If, Instead of misrepresenting Mcquinn's ideas, he had simply asked the questions that he had, and given Jason the oppor-

When we anarchists oppose the when we anarchists oppose the exercise of authority, we are opposing the exercise of "the power to require and receive submission." Our precise definition is derived from our analyses of human psychology and history, and is suitable to the context to which we refer. Other definitions of authority may be reasonable in other contexts, but they are irrelevant to the theme of "Cooperation is anarchy." The primary point of that essay is that if habits of cooperation were extended to all areas of everyday life, the result would be a society of non-hierarchical, freelyorganized communities. And this is the same thing we have in mind when we call for the creation of anarchy.

take pride in the CCG as a partial movement toward our practical desires for the future. I think the newsletter should remain a forum where we can openiy discuss our differences, and chal-lenge one another to delve more deeply into the implications of our activity.
But even more crucial to this reflective utopian project is openness to personal vulnerability, a lot of loving, and the freedom to be foolhardy when our passion mocks reality!

Note: Allen was a relatively new staff member at the Columbia Community Grocery when this was written. He was hired to keep the corporation's books. He also styles himself as something of an "expert" on "the cooperative movement." He has written Community and Cooperation: An Historical Outline of Cultural Innovation in Western Civilization, a highly idiosyncratic eight-page study notable for the almost complete absence of any mention of radical cooperative and communitarian traditions in its pages. This publication is available free at the CCG.



Tired of the same old shit day after day?

Frustrated by the impotence of your desires in an alien world of things and their prices?

Want to get out of your rut, and experience something genuinely new and different?

DISARM AUTHORITY ARM YOUR DESIRES

Read about and study anarchist ideas-news, history, theory-put them into practice.... And don't forget to subscribe to:

ANARCHY a journal of DESIRE ARMED

Only \$3.00 for six issues.

TOWARDS A DEFINITION OF COOPERATION

by Allen Butcher

This article is inspired by the recent series of articles titled "Coopera-tion is anarchy." I first would like to explain why I personally disagree with equating cooperation and anarchy, then follow with a few general definitions of what we may be about in building cooperation. My effort to define cooperation comes from my disposition toward viewing cooperatives as being part of a "movement" or, perhaps more in vogue these days, a "cultural transformation." i personally avoid using the term "revo-lution" for much the same reason that I shy away from using the term "anarchy" -- these terms really don't convey the kind of meaning that I feel would be

most constructive.

Although in one sense we may equate anarchy and cooperation--in that the two concepts generally do uphoid the virtue of individual autonomy--the issue of authority, as Al Messner in his recent article explains, provides a basis for differentiating between the two terms. The issue of authority actually presents a spectrum of political doctrine, the two extreme and opposing ends being defined by the concepts of anarchy and totalitarianism

Cooperation, however, occupies much more of a middle ground respective to the concept of authority in political doctrine. The term cooperation implies "mutual individual adjustment to harmo-nlous functioning," whereas anarchy implies "absolute individual liberty." Now, an individual may exercise one's liberty in joining into a cooperative relationship, and may consider that cooperative relationship to be anarchist, but as soon as the Individual concedes to any issue which compromises one's own liberty for the goals or concerns of the group as a whole, then it is entirely incorrect for that person to speak of their cooperative relationship as being anarchist. In general, to equate anarchy and cooperation is to be naive about the real meanings of the two terms. Upon consideration of motives, the effort to equate two different concepts such as cooperation and anarchy may be an attempt to give credence to a particular theory by equating it with something else which may be much more highly regarded.

The contradictory nature of individual and group wills or needs is the paradox of governance. The effort to reconcile these differences is what I think of as the art of cooperation. As D. H. Lawrence wrote, "There must be brotherly love, a wholeness of humanity. But there must also be pure, separate Individuality, separate and proud." At certain points the individual and the group are interlocking, yet both must be sufficiently autonomous to resist submergence of one by the other. It is the







MOVEMENT

THE SOCIAL





system of governance within the group community which must attempt to find the median point between individual and collective needs. Various processes exist for accomplishing this, including participatory systems of setting common goals, objectives and methods, and consensus procedure in conflict resolution. it is the effort or struggle toward defin-Ing and attaining our goals which results in the creation of a cooperative

community.

In closing, i would ike to present three slightly differing methods of de-fining a cooperative society: trusteeship or social service foundation, the co operative commonwealth, and social ecology.

Trusteeship-social service foundation: All social, political and economic activities are controlled by those affected, and managed for the health and welfare

of the society, as well as of the individual. Cooperative commonwealth: Entire economic structure comprised of

autonomous. cooperatively organized community groups, with regional and national coordination.

Social Ecology: "Social ecology is an acti-vity which seeks to harmonize human societies within themselves and with nature. It goes beyond any other philosophy, including anarchism, in considering the intricate relationships among all living and non-living natural forms," (From the Institute for Social Ecology, POB 89, Plainfield,



by Jason Mcquinn

was delighted to see that my small series of three educational articles entitled "Cooperation is anarchy" inspired both Al Messner and Allen Butcher to present some of their own thoughts on the definition and socio-political implications of cooperation in the last two Issues of this newsletter. Originally Intend to reply directly to Al Messner's article criticizing my positions since it unfortunately missed my basic point and focussed instead on a tan-gental criticism of my use of the word "authority." However, since Allen Butcher also wrote a critical piece in the following newsletter, and since both essays do raise questions that need to clarified to avoid similar confusions I have decided to speak to both of their articles here. In addition, since they both deny that cooperation is a form anarchy, and since hierarchy (i.e. the rule of some people over others) is the opposite of anarchy (i.e. the absence of rulers) In meaning, I am using the Ironic title "Cooperation is hierarchy?"

Al Messner argues in the Sept. 16th newsletter that my use of the word "authority" to denote the relationship "rulers to followers" is too narrow, and that this use somehow invalidates my equation of cooperative relationships with those of an anarchist community. However, a careful reading of my original statements will reveal that the

concept of authority is actually only peripheral to my argument, and that this word could have been deleted entirely and replaced with the words "hierarchical relationships" without in any way changing my point. And even if my argument had depended upon a concept authority, it obviously proves nothing when a person substitutes another defini-tion for that term and then complains that his new Interpretation of the argument no longer makes sense! If this were all it took to produce a logical disproof, our philosopher friends would have no trouble disproving everything I tell someone that (for example) the Ukrainian people are enslaved by the authority of an autocratic Soviet state, most people have no problem understanding the specific meaning of "authority" that I have in mind. Obviously it is Intended in the specific sense of the political authority to command obedience. If someone else then complains that by another sense of the word (say, the "authority" of "people we respect," or of the "skill and competence of ...'natural' leaders") this statement makes no sense and that therefore the Ukrainian people aren't enslaved at all, one can only wonder at the lapse of logic involved. This is analogous to what Al Messner has done with my original article.

Allen Butcher makes a similar semantic mistake in his article "Towards definition of cooperation." rather than using the word "anarchist" In the sense in which I used It In original article (for one who opposes all hierarchical or compulsory relationships), he naively substitutes his own idlosy cratic meaning according to which, from his point of view, an anarchist can never "concede to any Issue which compromises (her or his) own liberty for the goals or concerns of the group as a whole," without ceasing to remain In an anarchist relationship. This statement is quite ambiguous in meaning as it stands In his article and can have either one of two basic meanings. Either he means that the concession "to any issue which compromises (an anarchist's)
own liberty..." Is a freely agreed to concession, in which case it is obviously an anarchist and a cooperative relationship even according to Allen's own prior definitions; or he intends to say that It is a compulsory concession, in which case It is equally obviously neither an anarchist, nor a freely cooperative relationship.

There is a third possible sense that he may be trying to express, but it is really just a combination of the first two possibilities. In this third case, he could mean that the concession involves both elements of free choice and elements of compulsion. And this is indeed the way most political relationships in the real world function! However, this wouldn't change the outcome of his argument at all since if the concession is predominantly freely agreed to, then it remains both predominantly anarchist and cooperative. On the other hand, It is predominantly compulsory, then remains neither anarchistic nor cooperative. And if it is somehow "half

free" and "half compulsory" It can only be characterized as an ambiguous concession, neither cooperative and free, nor uncooperative and unfree, but containing elements of both in an unstable

combination.
I think that by now It is clear that as long as we remain within an abstract framework of discussion in which "anarchy" signifies the relative absence
of hierarchical and compulsory relationships, and "cooperation" denotes relationships in which people act freely in their own interests toward mutual goals with others, the essential logic of my original argument that cooperation is a form of anarchy is unassallable. The only honest way to avoid this conclusion would be maintain that predominantly hierarchical or compulsory relationships can considered genuinely connerative However, such a contention would leave the door open to call virtually anything "cooperation" and the word would lose any real positive meaning it now possesses. Fortunately for Al and Allen though, there are some real questions about just how anarchic, or how co-operative a society can become in prac-And I suspect that this is the actual underlying source of their uneasiness with my articles (along with their obvious discomfort with the word "anarchy" itself). In the next newsletter I will begin a discussion of the basic outlines of this question, and I invite Al and Allen to join me in a "constructive" dialogue concerning the limits of cooperative and anarchist relationships

POLITICS IN OUR GRAINS

by Allen Butcher

There are aspects of cooperative theory and practice that appeal to many different sectors of society and of the political spectrum. This is good, because there is a need to find a common und upon which all people can unite heir energies for mutual aid and advantage. In feet, this "common ground" is the theme most often expressed by many In fact, this "common ground" is cooperative leaders, and represents the theory behind the adoption of the rain bow flag and symbol as the logo of the cooperative movement worldwide. specifically of the International Coopera-

The basic Ideal of cooperation is common to every aspect of modern society (and even has a place in capital ist theory, but that is the subject for another article). As Sue Gardner, the Plaza Co-op in San Diego wrote in Jam Today, Dec. 1979: "There is a definite connection between the cooperative ideal and the religious philosophy of universal brotherhood expressed by your neighbor as yourself." In this Ideal transcends the divisions between both religious sects and political parties. Dr. Jame Peter Warbasse, founder of the Cooperative League of the U.S.A., expresses the practical political neutrality in this excerpt from Cooperative Peace:

Political systems expressed In governments can properly approve of cooperation. Polltical parties write endorsement of cooperation Into their platforms for the purpose of winning support of members of cooperative societies. This commits the political regime to approve of the cooperative Idea. In a country where cooperatives observe political neutrality and endorse no political party, all politiparties endorse cooperation: whereas In countries where the cooperative movement endorses one political party, only one political party endorses cooperation.

Sue Gardner goes on to say, and I agree, that "we can not, as a movement, allow ourselves to become closely associated with one particular political party (or religious denomination) or we will end up being alienated from op-

This is my concern about the recent spate of newsletter articles relating cooperative theory to anarchist theory. However true it may be that theory. However true it may be that anarchist and cooperative theories are compatible, we must guard against the CCG becoming closely identified with the anarchist movement

We really do not know how much our membership may or may not appreclate their cooperative newsletter being used as a vehicle for the promoting of anarchist theory. Thus, I propose that we Institute a newsletter policy that if any particular political theory is pro-pounded in the pages of our periodical twice, it should not appear again until an equal number of articles are run from the point of view of one or other philosophies, theories, or political

Perhaps we should declare that the CCG will devote a certain amount of its newsletter resources specifically for political discussions. This may or may not be a bad idea, as politics is certaina major concern to many people. this may actually help increase Interest in our newsletter, and by association. our cooperative, as we become known for the high quality of the debates raging in the pages of our communication organ. Political debates may indeed be an effective avenue for outreach to certain segments of our university-town population. Particularly coming into an election year, politics may be a hot Perhaps Jason, Al. I and others can work together to bring our political ciscussions (and how about religious discussions?) Into a larger audience, and thereby more directly benefit our cooperative by associating our name with open, informative, respectful debate,

At this point the dialogue returned to silence. I was so nauseated by Allen's two-faced call for censorship on the one hand, and "open, informative respectful debate" on the other that I never could bring myself to reply to it. After all, since "we really do not know how much the CCG membership may or may not appreciate" discussions concerning cooperation in "their" cooperative newsletter, maybe we should have restricted our articles to less controversial themes like "New products," "CCG financial reports" and supporting "Cur man in Washington." That way we wouldn't have threatened the fragile ideologies whose lip-service commitment to cooperation can't withstand the slightest criticism without demanding the censorship of radical



MANEATER MESSES UP

was tited by me as an example of why it was prudent for anarchists and when writing. The police however of compliance. are not as much of a problem for acquaintances can make life difficonventional prejudices and expecta- government." tions. Getting typed as an "anaras I found out when I was fired from my job a few weeks after appearing on the local TV news, and less than a week after the Maneater feature appeared. There is a saying, "Every Columbians as well. It is the rouand shy away from anything which merely the armed enforcers.

post office box."

Very dramatic, but not true. in the last issue of ANARCHY.

the establishment."

Anarchists have no interest in would be happy to respond to written "infiltrating the establishment" queries about our ideas. Just write because we do not think any meaning- us c/o POB 380, Columbia, MO. 65205.

ful change can take place by working within the currently established hierarchical institutions. What we are trying to do among other things, ling of Kim Linzie. This incident if to create alternative organizations based upon cooperation instead of hierarchy, human desire instead other dissidents to use pen names of profit, and imagination instead

"Local anarchists do not seek dissidents as is the citizenry. an immediate change in Columbia cul-Professors, employers, and casual ture, Badguy says. Instead they want to create a community based on cult for those who do not conform to cooperation within the present

An incredible statement! What chist" can make survival precarious, I actually said was, "Uhat we seek is not so much the overthrow of the government, but to create a situation where it gets lost in the shuffle." We have done and continue to do things which are changing "Colum-Frenchman carries a gendarme in his bia culture," and we'd like to bring breast," which is true of most about more drastic changes as quickly as possible. We think that working time authoritarianism of regular "within the present government" is people who live conventional lives a complete waste of time, and worse, such activity tends to legitimize threatens their complacency that and strengthen an inherently oppresmakes dissidence dangerous, not sive and coercive state. This is why we are anti-authoritarian radi-"The paper's address is a blind cals who oppose liberals and all reformists.

There are other subtle assump-We reply to letters which interest tions evident in this journalistic us and ignore the ones that don't. portrayal which contribute to a mud-The Columbia Anarchist League as a dled impression, but suffice it to group refuses to deal with the press. say that if you're interested in For the reasons why see Lev what anarchists are saying you'd be Chernyi's response to K.R.'s letter better off reading this publication. as well as the numerous books and "Badguy says Columbia is a good periodicals available at the Columplace for anarchists to infiltrate bia Community Grocery, than perusing the mainstream press. Various I say nothing of the kind! writers associated with ANARCHY



etters

We would like to encourage readers to write to us in order to open a dialogue both with those who are sympathetic and those who are critical of anarchist theory and practice. All letters to ANARCHY will be printed with the author's initials unless it is specifically stated that her/his full name may be used, or that s/he wishes to remain anonymous. We will try to print every letter that we receive for publication, as long as they are not redundant, overly long, or unreadable. Address your letters to ANARCHY. c/o Columbia Anarchist League, P.O.B. 380, Columbia, MO. 65205.

RESPONSIBLE PORN?

Dear C.A.L.,

Thanks for the new Anarchy. Liked the articles on pornography. What makes this issue so confusion is that there is so little socially responsible pornography. There is such an absence of love in most of those types of magazines. They olamorize casual sex without affection, to the point that you fantasize about fucking bodies instead of people. But I'm definitely against censorship. I just hope people aren't affected too strongly by its "people as things" mentality.

Someone at Kick It Over suggested that I reed Begin at Start by Su Negrin. Could you send me a copy? Enclosed is a check.

Thanks, E.S., Lawrence, KS

LEARNING FROM THE IWW

Dear friends.

I enjoyed the October/November 1985 issue of Anarchy--in particular the article on the decline of radicalism in Columbia. Champaign-Urbana is similar to Columbia in many respects. It is also a midwestern college town with a once thriving alternative sector now in decline. We also have a community radio station, WEFT, similar to your KOPN. The same pressures described in Lev Chernyi's article are also at work at WEFT, which is becoming steadily more bureaucratic and conservative.

I think community activists could learn alot from the IWW. The Industrial Workers of the World, in spite of its faults, still provides an example of a self-managed organization run by its members for eighty years. This is not to say the IWW is a model to be adopted blindly, since it is a labor organization, not a community one. But if commuits features, then bureaucratic ten- so many liberals and marxists, there want to subscribe. I have a perfeatures include: an absolute mini- things under member control. mum of paid staff, a regular rotation of officer and staff positions. direct decision-making by members PS: Here's a contribution for Cheka in 1921? Or are you using his through general assemblies or re- Anarchy. ferendums, and direct financing of the organization by the members in MFITHER GOD NOR MASTER the form of dues or some other method.

Another factor, however, which should not be discounted to explain /Nov. 1985 issue by the people at fore receiving your paper I had jotwhy the IWW has remained self- Fifth Estate. I knew that I stum- ted down a short piece in a frenzy managed, is the presence of so many bled on to something good when I saw of anger and passion that clearly anarchists in its ranks. Undoubtedly your slogan: neither god nor master. parallels Black's writing. So, I if there were more anarchists in Meedless to say I read the entire

nity organizations adopted some of community organizations, instead of issue and was impressed enough to dencies might be overcome. Such would be more pressure to keep sonal question to ask of Lev

Hello Anarchy.

Chernyi. Are you any relation to In solidarity, the anarchist poet of the same name J.S., Champaign, IL. who was executed by the notorious name as an alias? (Anyway, just curious.)

The most interesting part of the paper was Bob Black's "Abolition of Work" supplement. It was eerie, I was sent a copy of your Oct. because on 11/20, about a week be-

Continued on back page

SEETHING THROUGH THE CRACKS

Still sorrow lakes fill my mouth yet empty out again in hunger.

Why is it people smile at nothing, as if they were bursting with joy? So many have the State rotting in their heads: the President tells them to raise their forks and puncture their own faces. The Prime Minister tells them to stick their fingers up their asses, so willingly.

The bureaucrats throw a party where top-down managers eat upside-down cake and stuff the limbs of low-level workers into their bellies. sorry later for their stomach aches.

People are dancing through newspapers, turning somersaults over television sets, bowing politely to bayonets, smiling at harsh death, as if it were watching some comedy. In a celebration of power, they clap their hands till they are raw and bleeding, and in the end, all that is left is to go to sleep,

while we seeth through the cracks.

HARD AS STONE

No wonder lifers turn to alcohol Rotten life Straight lies. The sting of whisky cools this steaming sadness. Oh bring me back my baby from the shadows. I am watching the river of the gutter and nothing comes to take us away. Only the sewer, past our cheap shoes. Let me no wonder continue my wandering. The coiled metal on my finger is for the next fist. How did it get like this? Contemplate hell and drink fire. This fire ice for this cold ash of a night, a sliver of a moónless midnight, a foggy dusk and a sunless morning. These I pass through as a stranger hated hateful and hard as stone.

Sally A. Frye





The plague (Central America)

by Julian Noa

Dengue fever is a mosquito-borne disease which most severely-affects children. A mild case produces a high fever, loss of appetite, severe headaches and pains in the joints. A severe case may result in internal and external hemorrhaging, shock-even death death. Dengue fever has been spreading in Costa Rica, El Salvador, and Honduras. Nicaragua and Mexico an epidemic is raging.

The cities of Managua, Leon and

Chinandega in Nicaragua have been hardest hit. It's in these densely crowded urban areas where the mosquitos that carry dengue fever thrive. Last summer 60 percent of the population along the Pacific plain of Nicaragua was affected by the fever.

"The terror-tactics of U.S.sponsored troops forces people to move to unsanitary areas. Burn their crops and they become malnourished. Destroy their industry and they become even poorer. Leave them without a public health system and you have created all the conditions for a biological disaster." (Richard Garfield, and infectious disease specialist at Columbia University's School of Public Health)

The war in Nicaragua has resulted in thousands of disfigured and permanently disabled persons. Most are men in their teens and early 20's. 11,000 Nicaraguans have been killed and another 5,000 have been seriously injured in four years of fighting-and that's not losses suffered by anti-Sandinista insurgents.

Sandinista insurgents.

"We have thousands of veterans who will spend their lives blind or handicapped," said Subcoommander Antonio Sanjinez, who heads a government agency that tends to the needs of soldlers. "We have to recognize that their second to be a command reach of this is going to be a permanent part of Nicaraguan life,"

Nicaraguan life,"
"It used to be that if you saw someone in a wheelchair in Managua, you'd stop and stare," Mrs. Perez Alonso said. "Now it is natural to see them everywhere." She recently gave ser three year-old daughter a toy car and because it had wheels, she thought was a wheelchair."

wo years ago Juan Concepcion Murillo persons in Guatemala formed a group of sheer power in the world?

Fonseca was a lieutenant operating near the town of Nueva Guinea when his unit was ambushed by rebels. He is now confined to a wheelchair and lives with to a wheelchair and lives with his mother and his two sons in a poor quarter of Managua. "My wife left me when she saw me like this," he said.

As this is being written the Guatemalan state is going through the pretense of transferring power to a civilian government. In reality the new constitution which will take effect with

the inauguration of the new regime gives effective power to the army. The new constitution validates the "amnesty law" decreed under the de facto military government of Rios Montt which in effect guarantees that no one from the previous military regimes will be tried for their responsibility for seven years of terror against the Guateseven years or terror against the duste-malan people (100,000 orphans, 20,000 widows, 150,000 refugees, 50,000 to 70,000 "disappeared" or dead, 440 vil-lages and hamlets destroyed).

The new constitution also validates the "decree laws" which are the source of two mechanisms by which the army maintains strict control over the rural population; (1) the infamous model villages," (many similar to concentration camps) in which 40,000 Guatemalans live under military control, and (2) the civilian patrols in which 900,000 men--particularly the whole adult male population-are forced to participate or be

called "subversive."

In the last few years the army has further extended its control by means "Inter-Institutional Coordinating System." This system empowers military officers at the provincial and municipal levels to oversee all development and social service programs carried out by private and governmental agencies, and thus control all resources and aid coming into the area. In addition, the army has established numerous new bases and outposts in the farthest-flung villages of the highlands. Having laid a careful groundwork, the Guatemalan Army is now in a position to use the presidentelect to get more aid without fearing it will lose its grip over the country.

Family members of "disappeared"

two years ago called the Mutual Support Group for the Appearance Alive of our Relatives. They are demanding a full investigation of the thousands of unsolved kidnappings. However, any President who tries to launch a serious investigation will run the risk of pro-voking a military coup. Accordingly, President-elect Vinicia Arevalo of the Christian Democratic party has said he would not name a commission to look into the disappearances. Nor will he move quickly to prosecute soldiers believed to have ordered or carried out political killings.

Lilitary officers are believed to be ordering the killing of some soldiers and police officers involved in death squads and torture in an effort to prevent evidence from emerging later. A number of bodies with their faces muti-lated and hands cut off have appeared lately, and there are indications that some are members of military or security units.

The problem of sheer power appears everywhere we look, and is clearly making Nicaragua and Guatemala unhealthy places to live. But if we are going to talk about sheer power, it helps to start with the United States of America. Nicaragua and Guatemala are America. Nicaragua and Guatemaia are after all two of the more charming amusements of U.S. power people and their compatriots who "follow the news."

The U.S. and its pals were heartened by their success in subverting

and overthrowing a reformist capitalist democracy in Guatemala (1954), and in maintaining regimes that (to quote Noam Chomsky) "resemble Nazi Germany as closely as any in the contemporary world." In Guatemala the two rounds of elections, the new Christian Democratic president, and the defacement and obliteration of those good soldiers who remember the past too well, all are so much fresh wallpapering and chintz couching. The Guatemalan army officers, the majority trained in the remain in control and under

The question which still remains unanswered is what gives sheer power its force. "What is the psychology of sheer power?"--a question which to me means--what changes in ourselves and in the social and cultural provisions we collectively create can reverse the force

Our health psychologists would prefer to break this question down into discrete, empirical hypotheses they can control experimentally. What we can know is reduced to the kinds of research their "scientific" methodology can handle. So they are willing to inquire into the effects of stress on upper respiratory functioning, or the effects of learned helplessness on laboratory animals. But sheer power is a mass social phenomenon that takes place in historical context. It is hard for scientists to find. The limits of imagination and critical thought have been well-laid by the paradigm (i.e. the rules of the game of experimental psychology).

We know the distance of our psy-

Page 11

chologists well. We know how easily they rationalize that social conformity is mental health. After all it got them where they are today; it keeps their research money coming, their journal articles accepted and their department heads happy. They stand a lot to lose if they challenge the psychological or social status-quo. And their very methodology of reducing human exper-ience to a set of controllable or manipulable variables is itself just another dimension of a culture of domination cloaked in the language of abstract

So the work of unravelling the mystery of sheer power has to be done by those of us who have no qualms about purging ourselves of our own pet connectedness with the comfortable and routine. I have no plan to unveil-not even a starting point. How do you feel dominated by me? Why am I afraid of you? Let's tell each other, before we have to fight it out in Nicaragua.

ing why.

More U.S. aid to Guatemala next

year will help the people forget for awhile that nothing has changed.

Reagan and Ortega call each other terrorists. U.S.-supported rebel units have burned down scores of health centers, schools and other public buildings. They have kidnapped, raped and murdered. The Sandinistas came to power in 1979 after a twenty year struggle which included robberies, airplane hijackings, assaults, nabbings and murders. The birth of a state is deadly.

The U.S. by supporting the Somoza

dictatorships for decades, helped create the conditions which encouraged the armed struggle. Our government has increasingly taken measures to isolate Nicaragua and to compel its government to seek Soviet aid.

The maltreatment of the Miskito "Indians," the suspension of civil liberties by Ortega since last October, the nationalism and militarism of the new order, are excused by leftists. Mean-while rightists exploit these facts using them as a moral imperative; more domi nation, destruction and death is the stuff of freedom-fighting.

Anarchists point out that all

governments and would-be governments partake in terror. But the people of Central America don't need to be reminded by U.S. anarchists, who like all North Americans speak from rather insular ground. The people of Central America need neither Reagan nor Ortega, neither the military, nor Cerezo, neither health psychologists nor high-tech iatrogenesis, to live a healthy

Ideologists of any kind explaining to them what is going on in their country are no help either.

They do need what we need too. We need one another. We can empower ourselves, heal and nurture, to bond in the collective refusal of roles which divide and debilitate. This zesty project and prospect, the liberation of having one another, is indominable. The sick, the crippled, the loved ones of ghosts and corpses can begin to reawaken us. The plague may yet turn on itself.

The hemorrhaging and shock of dendue fever, cripples in wheelchairs, the riders of war, and the carcasses of the old butchers slaughtered by those they served...all soon fade as figures, recede into the ground of power. The libido is conquested; the wives leave, their husbands legless; the men kill without feeling; they die for remember-

ANARCHY IS ORDER. GOVERNMENT IS CIVIL WAR. Anselme Bellegarrique (1848)

our task.

-friendship is available.

town will know within 48 hours.

Letters continued

from page 10

am sending a copy to you--to do with as you please--as well as a money order and am looking forward to seeing your journal in the future. M.M., Washington, DC

Neither Slave nor Master Be!

The time to act is now! There can be no more delay. Every instant another nail is being driven into our coffins. The worst part of it is that we are the authors of our own enslavement. For we have voluntarily chosen to subject ourselves to the constant oppression of mindless crivel. NO MORE!!

We must wake up and wise up.

Our lives are slipping past us. Are we to be like the Roman general who, on his death bed, said he had been alive 70 years and only lived 7 of them? How can we allow our unnderful lives to be warped by useless drudgery and toil? We have no choice; we must discover a way to free ourselves. How long can wa remain shackled and still retain our humanity? This is not living, this is living death!

We must be free!! As long as we take orders from another human we are that human's slave. Even if we barter our slavery to 40 hours a week it is still slavery nonetheless. The Free Market only gives us freedom to choose who our next master will be.

Aren't you tired of this? It oppresses me and deadens my soul. We're in a rut that will someday become our grave. No more! I've had it! How about you? I must get out. We can only get out together. As the saying goes: you get either bread without freedom, or freedom without bread. Right now... I think I'd rather starve.

EDITOR'S NOTE: "Lev Chernyi" is a penname used in memory of the now obscure Russian anarchist poet who reputedly combined a great interest in the ideas of Max Stirner with an active involvement in the Russian anarchist movement. We will reprint a short biographical article on Chernyi in a future issue.

DESTRE UNARMED

preetings lev.

seated in the "enemy's" office, i write you thanks for another ANARCHY our voice of desire unarmed! thank you.

the fish and game offices of Alaska are poorly equipped: notice this old IBM selectric II, dinosaur this

yes I'm still a bit grabby with this social mess, though ALASKAN PEOPLE demonstrate clearly the pressure of CRITICAL MASS EMINENCE: definite soul-searching and concomitant

Those of us involved in the publica-tion of ANARCHY really appreciate all the contributions, subscriptions, feedback & support we've been receiving. Right now contributions and subscriptions are agony-of-self. Hope is stupid, cernow contributions and subscriptions are especially important to us because one of the major sources of financing for this journal has unfortunately been greatly reduced, and we need all the help we can tainly, but much moreso useless in hey, Alaskans i've met so far are get to make up for this loss in our wonderful... far less of a governwonderful... far less of a government influence felt, i.e. far less
always have a ready excuse (and valid submissions! terrorism among the common people-

ANARCHY notes

University, Angel Cappelletti. A com-mission was formed to send out a call to all libertarians in Venezuela to parti-

cipate in the new federation. Currently Venezuela is suffering from both high inflation and high unem-

needs) to ask for more money-so why should we be an exception! Fork it

folks! Send in your contribution to this threat to the public welfare today!

We'd also like to solicit more local participation in this publication—especially

participation in this policication—especially from those of you who'd like to see your drawings, photos, graffiti, comix, short stories, book reviews and letters appear in ANARCHY. Submissions can be sent at any time to our address: C.A.L., POB

380, Columbia, MO 65205-or to us per-sonally-if you have an interesting idea,

a pressing question, or some striking art work you'd like us to consider. We're

ployment rates. Out of 16.5 million Venezuelans about 1.2 million are unemployed, while many millions more are "underemployed." Yet despite these problems the people of Venezuela and its reformist workers movement remain largely passive. SOURCE: STRIKEI, St. Catharines, Ontario

ANARCHIST NEWS continued receiving your envelope gives me VENEZUELAN FEDERATION

great pleasure, and is am given to understand the local post office is, Venezuela (Anarchist Federation of understand the local post office is, Venezuela) was formed by delegates no doubt, in a great tizzy... so the from Grupo Solidario, students from the Center of the Company of the Center of t un uill know within 48 hours. trial University of Venezuela, and the anarchist in town...petersburg AK "Seagull" Anarchist Nucleus. Also in ch my, hou little any of us know... and in attendance at the meeting were a commy now to you all tine group in Venezuela and an anarchist U.C., Ferndale, UA author and professor at Simon Bolivar



0